



Tishman Environment
and Design Center



TISHMAN CENTER · JUNE 6, 2023

Statement by Environmental Justice Organizations on the National Symposium on Climate Justice & Carbon Management



Recently Dr. Ana Baptista, our Co-Director, attended a symposium with environmental justice (EJ) leaders to discuss industrial carbon removal strategies in the United States of America. There were representatives from a number of organizations, including the Deep South Center for Environmental Justice, the Hip Hop Caucus, WE ACT for Environmental Justice, and others. The result of the symposium was the statement that is below this message.

STATEMENT BY ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE ORGANIZATIONS ON THE NATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON CLIMATE JUSTICE & CARBON MANAGEMENT

June 1-4, 2023

We, the undersigned, attended the National Symposium on Climate

Justice and Carbon Management at the Wingspread Center in Wisconsin hosted by GreenLatinos, WE ACT for Environmental Justice, Deep South Center for Environmental Justice, Institute for Carbon Removal Law and Policy at American University's School of International Service, and the New York Community Trust. We came as diverse groups representing environmental justice organizations to engage in productive dialogue on the climate and environmental justice implications, questions, and concerns related to carbon management strategies currently being funded and promoted by the federal government and industries.

Much of the conversation and questions focused on concerns related to industrial carbon removal in the form of Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS), Carbon Capture Storage and Utilization (CCUS), hydrogen fuels, and Direct Air Capture (DAC), among others.

We write to express the deep concerns and reflections that came out of these discussions which included:

- There is a lack of a holistic consideration of carbon management that ignores the possibilities for a complete transition away from fossil-fueled industries and economies.

- There is no regulatory regime at any level of government – local, state or federal – that can protect environmental justice communities from the cumulative risks and dangers associated with industrial carbon management like CCS. Within the weak regulatory frameworks many of us fight to change, bad actors that fail to enforce environmental regulations are in the process of being approved to hold primary permitting authority over CCS projects.
- These weak regulatory frameworks are further weakened with the recent permitting reforms that strip down the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and could fast-track CCS/CCUS and hydrogen projects.
- Huge financial incentives for CCS/CCUS and hydrogen projects that are in the 45Q and 45V federal tax credits, the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law (BIL), and the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) are inappropriately investing public tax dollars in risky ventures that could prove

harmful to environmental justice communities and worsen climate change without much oversight.

- A discussion of so-called “guardrails” is imprudent and premature when proponents of CCS/CCUS, DAC, and hydrogen fail to acknowledge the known and potential hazards for communities as well as operation failures that increase climate risks. The hazards, risks, and uncertainties of large-scale deployment of industrial carbon removal strategies should not be hidden, ignored or dismissed, but should be clearly identified, defined, and made known as public information.
- What is being proposed at the federal level is undermining wins achieved at the local and state levels to transition away from fossil fuels and harmful co-pollutants like particulate matter to a just and equitable energy economy. Some good examples of state and local gains include the [New Jersey Environmental Justice Law](#), [New York Cumulative Impacts Law](#), and the City of New Orleans [Renewable and Clean Energy Portfolio](#). New Orleans

also passed the first
prohibition on CCS/CCUS.

- Often overlooked in climate mitigation and resiliency policies and funding opportunities is consideration of natural, biological carbon sinks, such as the restoration of mangroves, soil improvements, and forest restoration. These approaches also need careful assessment of potential social and equity impacts in their application.
- The overarching purpose of carbon removal should be the complete and rapid transition away from harmful fossil fuels and other sources of industrial pollution, with an absolute priority on reducing chronic disparities of pollution exposure and industrial harm experienced by environmental justice communities.

It's not lost on us that we convened this weekend at the same location where the Precautionary Principle was developed in 1998. To this end, we affirm that any activity or technology with demonstrated and/or reasonably foreseeable threats to public health and the environment must undertake **precautionary measures, even if**

some causes and effects are not yet scientifically determined. For reasons listed above and more, this absolutely applies to the entire premise of carbon management and associated technologies.

In Solidarity,

Dr. Beverly Wright, Founder and Executive Director, and **Monique Harden**, Director of Law and Public Policy, Deep South Center for Environmental Justice

Peggy Shepard, Co-Founder and Executive Director, and **Dana Johnson**, Senior Director of Strategy and Federal Policy, WE ACT for Environmental Justice

Dr. Ana Isabel Baptista, Co-Director, Tishman Environment & Design Center, The New School

Maria Lopez-Nunez, Deputy Director, Ironbound Community Corp.

Jennifer M. Hadaya, MPA, Executive Director, Air Alliance Houston

**Beto Lugo Martinez, Executive
Director, Clean Air Now EJ**

**Anthony Karefa Rogers-Wright,
Director of Environmental Justice,
New York Lawyers for the Public
Interest**

**Sharon Lewis, Executive Director,
Connecticut Coalition for Economic
and Environmental Justice**

**Dr. Nicky Sheats, Esq., Center for
the Urban Environment, John S.
Watson Institute for Urban Policy
and Research at Kean University**

**Huda Alkaff, Founder and Director,
Wisconsin Green Muslims**

**Nayyirah Shariff, Director, Flint
Rising**

**Mark Magaña, Founding President
and CEO, and Irene Burga Márquez,
Climate Justice and Clean Air
Program Director, GreenLatinos**

**Rev. Lennox Yearwood, Hip Hop
Caucus**

Comments (0)

Newest First

Preview

POST COMMENT...

PREVIOUS

Dr. Ana Baptista Published in Toxic Heritage: Legacies, Futures, and Environmental Injustice

EJ, FACULTY

NEXT

Has Existential Crisis - Artist Interview with Mike Harrington

STAFF WORK

Tishman
Environm
ent
and
Design
Center,
The New
School

79 5th
Avenue,
16th
Floor,

SUBSCRIBE

Sign up to receive our monthly newsletter which includes updates on our work and upcoming events.

HOME
ABOUT
CAMPUS
ENGAGEMENT
EJ DISRUPT
DESIGN: An Environmental
Justice Movement Fellowship
RESEARCH &

NY
10003
212.229.5
321
tedc@new
school.ed
u

NEWS & EVENTS

First Name

BLOG

Last Name

Email Address

<https://www.tishmancenter.org>

SIGN UP

We respect your
privacy.